

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/815,646 | SILVERBROOK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lisa M. Caputo | 2876 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Lisa M. Caputo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Pascale Hair for Kia Silverbrook.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Claim 8

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner provided an email correspondence to the applicant, who is overseas, to obtain authorization for an examiner's amendment in order to remove an extraneous word, "and", from the end of claim 8. Authorization was obtained via email.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)